# HOUSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . No.

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

#### PRESENTED BY:

### Jeffrey Sánchez

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the passage of the accompanying bill:

An Act opposing unneccesary language restrictions in the workplace.

#### PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
William Lantigua	16th Essex
Jeffrey Sánchez	15th Suffolk

## [SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 1845 OF 2007-2008.]

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the Year Two Thousand and Nine

#### AN ACT OPPOSING UNNECCESARY LANGUAGE RESTRICTIONS IN THE WORKPLACE.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1	Section 1. Chapter 151B, Section 1 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2006 Official
2	Edition, shall be amended by adding to the end thereof the following:
3	24. The term "Business necessity" shall mean an overriding legitimate business
4	purpose such that the business policy in question is necessary to the safe and
5	efficient operation of the business, that the business policy effectively fulfills the
6	business purpose it is supposed to serve, and there is no alternative practice to the
7	business policy that would accomplish the business purpose equally well with a
8	lesser discriminatory impact."
9 10	Section 2. Chapter 151B, Section 4 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2006 Official Edition, shall be amended by adding to the end thereof the following:
11	20. (A) No person may be disqualified from entering into, pursuing a career in,
12	advancing in, or otherwise enjoying the benefits of employment or participation in
13	any business, profession, vocation, or employment because of national origin or
14	ethnic origin, unless based upon a bona fide occupational qualification.
15	(B) Except as provided for in part (C) of this subsection, it shall be an unlawful
16	employment practice for an employer, employment agency, or labor organization
17	to adopt or enforce a policy that limits or prohibits the use of any language in any
18	workplace, unless both of the following conditions exist:

19	(1) The language restriction is justified by a business necessity.
20	(2) The employer, employment agency, or labor organization has notified its
21	employees of the circumstances and the time when the language restriction
22	is required to be observed and of the consequences for violating the
23	language restriction.
24	Except as provided for in part (C) of this subsection, it shall be further presumed
25	by the Commonwealth and its courts that any policy adopted or enforced that
26	limits or prohibits the use of any language in any workplace adversely affects and
27	demoralizes persons who are speakers of that language, by creating an atmosphere
28	of inferiority, isolation, and intimidation. Enhancement of the esprit de corps or
29	level of comfort of employers, managers, co-workers, customers, or clients who
30	prefer not to hear a specific language being spoken in their presence shall not be
31	deemed to be a valid business necessity under the meaning of this section,
32	provided that an employer may mandate that employees speak a specific language
33	when speaking to (rather than merely in the presence of) an employer, manager,
34	co-worker, customer, or client if such a mandate meets the business necessity and
35	notification provisions of this subsection.
36	Any policy which allows only a specific language to be used for communication
37	(e.g., an "English Only" rule) shall be construed by the Commonwealth and its
38	courts as a prohibition against the use of all other languages.
39	(C) It shall be lawful for an employer, employment agency, or labor organization to
40	limit or prohibit employees from engaging in personal (rather than business-
41	related) communication while on the job, without establishing business necessity,
42	provided that such communication is limited or prohibited equally for all
43	languages, and provided further that the employer, employment agency, or labor
44 45	organization has notified its employees of the circumstances and the time when the personal communication restriction is required to be observed and of the
45 46	consequences for violating the personal communication restriction.
τu	consequences for violating the personal communication restriction.